July 8, 2002

Mr. Lou Bright General Counsel Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission P.O. Box 13127 Austin, Texas 78711-3127

OR2002-3680

Dear Mr. Bright:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 165358.

The Texas Alcoholic Beverage Commission (the "commission") received a request for information related to "any sweepstakes, contests or promotions held by" Budweiser, Stroh's, Miller, and Coors. You state that the Stroh Brewery Company has not been in business since March 2000. The Public Information Act (the "Act") does not require a governmental body to release information that did not exist when a request for information was received or to prepare new information. See Economic Opportunities Dev. Corp. v. Bustamante, 562 S.W.2d 266, 267-68 (Tex. Civ. App. – San Antonio 1978, writ dism'd); Open Records Decision Nos. 605 at 2 (1992), 452 at 3 (1986), 362 at 2 (1983). You claim that the remaining requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code, and may be excepted under section 552.110 of the Government Code. You make no arguments and take no position as to whether the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.110. You state, and provide documentation showing, that you notified the third parties whose proprietary interests may be implicated of the request for information and of their right to submit arguments to this office as to why the requested information should not be released. See Gov't Code § 552.305(d); see also Open

¹The third parties that were sent notice under section 552.305 are the following: the Anheuser-Busch Companies ("Anheuser-Busch"), Miller Brewing Company ("Miller"), and Coors Brewing Company ("Coors").

Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to Gov't Code § 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in Act in certain circumstances).

Section 552.305(d) allows a third party ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice to submit its reasons, if any, as to why information relating to that party should not be released. See Gov't Code §552.305(d)(2)(B). Anheuser-Busch and Miller responded to the notices and asserted that sections 552.101 and 552.110 of the Government Code except from disclosure those companies' responsive information. No response was received from Coors. We have considered the exceptions claimed and reviewed the submitted representative sample of information.²

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Section 5.48 of the Alcoholic Beverage Code provides as follows:

- (a) "Private records," as used in this section, means all records of a permitee, licensee, or other person other than the name, proposed location, and type of permit or license sought in an application for an original or renewal permit or license, or in a periodic report relating to the importation, distribution, or sale of alcoholic beverages required by the commission to be regularly filed by a permit or licensee.
- (b) The private records of a permitee, licensee, or other person that are required or obtained by the commission or its agents, in connection with an investigation or otherwise, are privileged unless introduced in evidence in a hearing before the commission or before a court in this state or the United States.

The term "privileged" in this statute has been construed to mean "confidential" for purposes of the Public Information Act. Attorney General Opinion JM-1235 at 2 (1990); Open Records Decision Nos. 186 (1978), 62 (1974). Thus, section 5.48 makes confidential any records required or obtained by the commission, with the exception of "the name, proposed location, and type of permit or license sought in an application for an original or renewal permit or license" and "a periodic report relating to the importation, distribution, or sale of alcoholic beverages required by the commission to be regularly filed by a permit or licensee." Alco. Bev. Code § 5.48. In this case, the documents at issue appear to be "private records"

²We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

obtained by the commission. You also state that the applicants have not been parties to any hearings and that the subject information has therefore never been entered into evidence at any judicial proceeding. Consequently, we agree that the requested information is made confidential by section 5.48 of the Alcoholic Beverage Code, and must be withheld from disclosure under section 552.101 of the Government Code. As section 552.101 is dispositive, we do not address the other claimed exception to disclosure.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or

complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Cindy Nettles

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

Cas hette,

CN/jh

Ref: ID# 165358

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Ms. Kelli D. Austin
Lawyer's Aid Service
P.O. Box 848
Austin, Texas 78767-0848
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Kenneth L. Judd Associate General Counsel Legal Department Anheuser-Busch Companies One Busch Place St. Louis, Missouri 63118-1852 (w/o enclosures)

Mr. Norm Cramer
Director Assistant General Counsel
Coors Brewing Company
P.O. Box 4030
Golden, Colorado 80401
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Kelly Grebe
Ms. Michelle Nettles
Assistant General Counsel
Miller Brewing Company
P.O. Box 482
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201-0482
(w/o enclosures)

Ms. Sarah M. Hatch Quarles & Brady L.L.P. 411 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4497 (w/o enclosures)